Policy Brief

Don’t Block Graduation Because of a Test

Set Policies to Allow School Officials to Consider Other Factors Like Class Grades and Attendance

This brief focuses on how the State of Texas can improve its public education system through more equitable high school graduation requirements and by examining additional information that the legislature can consider in evaluating the current alternate assessment for graduation. In summary, we recommend that the State of Texas do the following.

- Make the current individual graduation committee (IGCs) policy permanent so that teams of educators, and sometimes a parent or guardian, can evaluate the student’s coursework, effort and attendance in order to determine if the student has mastered the subject and can graduate.

- Eliminate the graduation requirement that students must pass high school exit exams. Instead, use limited, valid, reliable and formative standardized testing for diagnostic purposes to improve learning.

- Limit testing to the subjects required under the Every Student Succeeds Act – that is, eliminate the English II and U.S. History end-of-course exams.

- Reconstruct the state’s testing system to require formative assessment that is valid and reliable for all learners to monitor student learning and give ongoing feedback for teachers to improve their instruction (as opposed to summative testing that can be used in punitive ways that hurt children).

- To the extent permissible, administer testing through a randomized sampling of students capable of capturing the performance of students by race, national origin, sex/gender, socioeconomic status, language, disability, and other student group characteristics identified for educational purposes across Texas.
National and State Trends in Testing and Opportunities to Improve Efficiency under the Every Student Succeeds Act

Texas currently requires students to pass five exit STAAR exams to graduate high school. While some believe that the extensive number of high school exit exams is required under federal law, that is not true. Federal law sets a minimum. Under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), which was signed into law in December 2015 and replaced the No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB), states are merely required to test students in reading, math and science in high school as shown below. The federal government does not require that Texas mandate passage of these tests for graduation (high-stakes testing), nor does federal law require the additional tests noted below with an asterisk (*). Texas, itself, chooses to go beyond minimal federal requirements, expending significant state resources in the process and creating a far less efficient public school system.

### High School Exit Exams in Texas as Required by Federal Government and the State of Texas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required by the Federal ESSA</th>
<th>Required by the State of Texas</th>
<th>Required at the Local Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Reading and math (Grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and high school) | • Reading and math (Grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8)  
• Writing (Grades 4, 7)*  
• Science (Grades 5, 8)  
• Social Studies (Grades 8)*  
• EOC: Biology, Algebra I, English I & II*  
• U.S. History* | • Benchmark  
• Weekly |

* not required by federal law  
Source: IDRA, 2019

In fact, several states have reconsidered the high-stakes consequences attached to state-mandated high school tests. Currently, only 13 states reportedly require students to pass state standardized high school exit exams, the lowest since the 1980s (FairTest, 2017). Public opinion polls also show a growing disenchantment with the obsessive testing era, with 67 percent of parents stating that there is too much emphasis on testing (Gallup, Phi Delta Kappa Poll, 2015).

To be clear, IDRA does not support the elimination of standardized testing. IDRA understands that standardized testing, when valid and reliable, provides important information for students, parents, teachers, administrators, the public and policymakers. Texas, however, has relied too extensively on a flawed testing strategy that wastes tax dollars. Previously, under the NCLB, the state was required to administer summative assessments, but under the ESSA, Texas can choose to move to more formative assessments. IDRA urges the state to do so to help improve learning, especially for low-income and English learner students.
Recent Disaggregated IGC Results in Texas

Alternative assessments for high school graduation authorized pursuant to SB149 (2015) greatly improved opportunities to graduate, particularly for students of color and lower income students. According to the latest TEA data released for the 2016-17 school year, there were 14,735 students assigned an IGC. Of these, 77.5 percent (11,422) were recommended for graduation.

The most recent data from TEA (2016-17 school year) show that the vast majority of IGC graduates failed the two end-of-course exams (EOCs) that are not required for testing by the federal government: English II and U.S. History. Of the 6,172 IGC graduates failing one high school exit exam, 88 percent of students failed either the English II or U.S. History exam. The following chart shows the results for IGC students failing one exam.

In addition, of the 4,510 students failing two EOC exams, fewer than 1 percent failed a combination of exams that did not include either the English II or U.S. History exam.

Data source: Texas Education Agency
The table below shows the breakdown of the total number and percentage of IGC graduates and disaggregated data by race/national origin and socioeconomic status (SES) (percentages rounded).

<p>| Texas High School Graduates by Race/Ethnicity and Socioeconomic Status, Individual Graduation Committee Graduates and All Graduates, 2016-17 |
|---------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of IGC Graduates</th>
<th>Number of All Graduates</th>
<th>Percent of IGC Graduates</th>
<th>IGC Graduates as Percent of All Graduates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total IGC Graduates</td>
<td>11,422</td>
<td>334,416</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American Students</td>
<td>1,994</td>
<td>42,129</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian Students</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1,251</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian American Students</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>14,035</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino American Students</td>
<td>7,772</td>
<td>164,428</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Islander Students</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Students</td>
<td>1,174</td>
<td>105,766</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiracial Students</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>6,282</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economically Disadvantaged Students</td>
<td>8,697</td>
<td>166,989</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: IDRA, 2016; Data source: Texas Education Agency, 2016-17

The state expends significant costs of time and resources for the preparation and administration of these tests and could avoid wasting precious tax dollars on these tests. Eliminating the two additional tests and eliminating the high-stakes testing component of the EOCs, would greatly improve the efficiency of the education system by reducing costs, test retakes, and the number of IGC committees required to be formed.

The Intercultural Development Research Association is an independent, non-profit organization. Our mission is to achieve equal educational opportunity for every child through strong public schools that prepare all students to access and succeed in college. IDRA strengthens and transforms public education by providing dynamic training; useful research, evaluation, and frameworks for action; timely policy analyses; and innovative materials and programs.
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Temporary Texas Policy Relieves High-Stakes for 11,400 Students

Texas requires students to pass five exit STAAR exams to graduate high school. With the temporary policy put in place in 2015, students who have completed all requirements and do not pass one or two of the end-of-course exams may still graduate if approved by an individual graduation committee. The policy was renewed in 2017, but is set to expire in 2019.

There were 11,622 individual graduation committee graduates in 2016-17

Students who are economically disadvantaged, Latino or African American benefited most from the policy in 2016-17

76% of Latino Economically Disadvantaged Graduates Compared to 70% of All Graduates
68% of Black Economically Disadvantaged Graduates Compared to 68% of All Graduates
69% of White Economically Disadvantaged Graduates Compared to 64% of All Graduates
32% of Asian American Economically Disadvantaged Graduates Compared to 30% of All Graduates
1% of Multiracial Economically Disadvantaged Graduates Compared to 1% of All Graduates
Some ways accountability systems hurt children

- Holding students back a year, even when they may have only needed help in one subject
- Denying diplomas, even for those who passed all their classes
- Diluting instruction for all or part of a school year to focus on test-taking skills

- Increasing children’s stress and anxiety over testing – the pressure can begin as early as kindergarten
- Disregarding what students do know and have learned
- Punishing students for not knowing what they may not have been taught

It doesn’t have to be this way
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See this infographic in full online at: https://idra.news/IGC19ig