

## **Private School Voucher Programs Disempower Parents**

IDRA Testimony against HB 4807, submitted by Chloe Latham Sikes, Ph.D., to the Texas House Public Education Committee, April 11, 2023

Dear Chair Buckley and Honorable Members of the Committee:

My name is Chloe Latham Sikes, Ph.D., and I am the deputy director of policy at IDRA, an independent, non-partisan non-profit committed to achieving equal educational opportunity for every child through strong public schools that prepare all students to access and succeed in college.

IDRA opposes HB 4807, and any other legislative proposals that divert public school funds for private use, particularly without any public transparency or accountability. HB 4807 takes the state farther away from the goals of fair school funding and parent and community accountability over education quality.

## HB 4807 Uses Public Money for Private Purposes with No Fiscal Accountability

Texas public schools serve all students as part of the state's constitutional obligation to provide an efficient public education system. HB 4807 creates a separate, inefficient system of publiclyfunded educational assistance organizations.

The education savings account (ESA) program would funnel about \$10,000 per participant to certified educational assistance organizations (CEAO). However, the bill lacks any financial reporting or academic accountability requirements. Texas public schools are rated according to both fiscal accountability (FIRST) and academic accountability (A-F accountability system). While these accountability systems do not always account for all the indicators to measure student achievement and school performance, they do offer the public a way to gather open, transparent information about campus and district performance.

Research shows that ESA and similar voucher programs in other states have led to fiscal mismanagement, fraud and increasing state expense at the cost of public school funding (Abrams & Koutsavlis, 2023). Just five years after Arizona began its private school voucher program, the state found fiscal mismanagement among program participants (Davenport, 2016). In addition, while some comparable programs contain a cap or limit, they often balloon to consume a large portion of state education funding and decrease the public education funding for students in the public school system. For instance, in Florida, similar private school voucher programs now consume 10% of the state's public education funding (Abrams & Koutsavlis, 2023).

## HB 4807 Disempowers Parents with No Data and No Reports on Their Students' **Educational Opportunities**

HB 4807, like similar proposals, contains a special education notice (Sec. 29.368) to alert parents









applying for the ESA program that they waive their students' federal and state protections under federal disability laws. In private school voucher programs, students with disabilities can be denied services according to their needs and can experience higher rates of disciplinary and behavioral referrals. However, data on these incidents is scarce due to the lack of reporting, leaving parents in the dark and excluding students from what are protected educational environments in public schools (NCD, 2018).

In addition, a 2020 study found that private school voucher programs across 29 states rarely require transparent reporting on student achievement or graduation rates or information as basic as teachers' education qualifications. Most of the programs allowed private schools to discriminate against students' religious and gender identities for admission. Only five states of the 29 required that students with disabilities be admitted to private schools benefitting from the voucher programs.

Overall, the programs obscured transparency on school and student performance, reduced accountability for meeting students' needs, and permitted discrimination from students' accessing the private education touted by the programs. Private school vouchers disempower students and parents from accessing high-quality education.

IDRA is available for any questions or further resources that we can provide. Thank you for your consideration. For more information, please contact chloe.sikes@idra.org.

## References

Abrams, S.E., & Koutsavlis, S.J. (March 2023). The Fiscal Consequences of Private School Vouchers. Southern Poverty Law Center, Education Law Center, Public Funds Public Schools. https://pfps.org/assets/uploads/SPLC ELC PFPS 2023Report Final.pdf

Davenport, D. (June 29, 2016). A Performance Audit of the Arizona Department of Education - Empowerment Scholarship Accounts Program. Arizona Auditor General. https://www.azauditor.gov/sites/default/files/16-107 Report.pdf

Hanson, M. (June 15, 2022). U.S. Public Education Spending Statistics. Education Data Initiative. https://educationdata.org/public-education-spending-statistics

Mills, J., & Wolf, P. (May 10, 2019). The Effects of the Louisiana Scholarship Program on Student Achievement After Four Years. EDRE Working Paper, No. 2019-10. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=3376230

NCD. (November 15, 2018). School Choice Series: Choice & Voucher – Implications for Students with Disabilities. National Council on Disability. https://ncd.gov/sites/default/files/NCD Choice-Vouchers 508 0.pdf

Prothero, A., & Harwin, A. (February 28, 2020). Private School Choice Programs Fall Short on Transparency, Accountability. Education Week. https://www.edweek.org/policy-politics/private-school-choice-programs-fallshort-on-transparency-accountability/2020/02?r=2000718806#database

IDRA is an independent, non-profit organization led by Celina Moreno, J.D. Our mission is to achieve equal educational opportunity for every child through strong public schools that prepare all students to access and succeed in college. IDRA strengthens and transforms public education by leading policy analyses and advocacy; dynamic teacher training and principal coaching; useful research, evaluation and frameworks for action; and innovative student, family and community engagement.







